Navy Experimental Diving Unit 321 Bullfinch Rd. Panama City, FL 32407-7015

TA98-010 NEDUTR-6-00 February 2001



# "JACKET" BUOYANCY COMPENSATOR

C. J. ZANONI:

<Unlimited>
<Distribution>

		1	RT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE								
la. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified					1b. 1	RESTRICTIV	VE MAI	RKINGS				
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORI			3. D	ISTRIBUTIO	ON/AV	AVAILABILITY OF REPORT						
N/A			٠		DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved release; distribution is unlimited							
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING AU	THORITY											
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT N NEDU Technical Report No. 6-00	UMBER(S)				5. M	ONITORING	ORGAI	NIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(	5)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Navy Experimental Diving Unit		6b. OFF (If		SYMBOL licable) 031	7a. 1	NAME OF MO	OTINC	RING ORGANI	ZATION			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Co 321 Bullfinch Road, Panama City		7015			7b. i	ADDRESS (C	City,	State, and	Zip Code)			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Naval Sea Systems Command		8b. OFF (If		SYMBOL licable) 00C	9. P	ROCUREMENT	r ins	TRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION 1	NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Co	ode)				10.	SOURCE OF	FUNDING NUMBERS					
2531 Jefferson Davis Highway, A:	rlington, V	'A 22242-	-5160		PROG	RAM	Tppo	JECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT		
					ELEM!	ENT NO.	PRO	DECT NO.	TA98-010	ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classif:	ication)											
EVALUATION OF DUI "JACKET" BUOYANCY  12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)C. J. Zanoni	COMPENSATO											
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical Report		OVERED ec 00 TO Jan 01	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION		.,			•		-					
17. COSATI CODI	ES					8. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) BC Testing						
FIELD	GROUP			SUB-GROUP								
determine which BC perform satisfact inspection of the buoyancy compensat diver orientation, and Test Pool Eve	torily. Bu tor, techni aluation (B uoyancy / l	was concer supplication if used a rest Pool	vuoyancy compensators (BCs), and perform testing to vas conducted in three phases. Phase I, receipt supplied documentation (instructions / repair manuals), used as a Life Jacket). No failure mode analysis was at Pool at 15 fsw. Phase III consisted of manned dives cristics.									
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABS	STRACT					21. ABS	TRACT	ACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION				
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED X SAM	ME AS RPT.	DTI	C US	ERS		Unclassified						
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL NEDU Librarian			7	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL 850-230-3100								

DD Form 1473

# **CONTENTS**

المدا	en dunation	<u>Page No.</u>
mu	roduction	1
Me	thods	1
	General	1
	Experimental Design and Analysis	
	Equipment and Instrumentation	2
	Procedures	2
Re	sults	3
Co	nclusions	4
Re	commendations	4
Re	ferences	7
	TABLES	
Tal	ole No.	<u>Page No.</u>
1 2	Buoyancy Compensator Pull Test Data Sheet Human factor evaluation data sheets	5
	for the DUI "Jacket"	6

### INTRODUCTION

Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU) is tasked¹ to conduct surveys of commercially available buoyancy compensators (BCs), and perform testing to determine which BCs perform satisfactorily in accordance with references (2) and (3). All buoyancy compensators that meet the above requirements will be candidates for recommendation to the Authorized for Navy Use (ANU) list. The purpose of this technical report is to determine if DUI "Jacket" buoyancy compensator meets those requirements.

### **METHODS**

### **GENERAL**

Each BC was tested and evaluated in three different environments; Phase I (Bench Test), Phase II (Controlled Environment (Test Pool/Ocean Simulation Facility (OSF)), and Phase III (Open Ocean Diving). While bench testing, each BC was evaluated by two qualified U.S. Navy divers for completeness and adequacy of maintenance manuals and technical documentation, skill level required to perform routine repair and maintenance, operation of the integrated weight belt and the operation of all BC components. In a controlled environment, each BC was tested and evaluated for buoyancy and lift capability. While performing open water dives, each BC was used and evaluated by qualified U.S. Navy divers in a single SCUBA tank configuration to a minimum of 30 fsw (9.4 msw). The conversion for msw is in accordance with reference (4).

# **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS**

All BCs tested were off the shelf items; three sizes were tested, (i.e., medium, large and X-large). The Task Leader or assigned representative was present during the set-up and post-dive procedures on all BCs.

### Phase I testing:

- Each model BC was evaluated by two qualified U.S. Navy divers for ease of operation and maintenance procedures.
- Average cost, from five different suppliers was acquired.

Specific comments from evaluators were compiled and documented.

# Phase II testing:

 All different size BCs were tested to 15 fsw (4.7 msw) utilizing the Test Pool. Each BC was fully inflated, recording the average lift capacity.

# Phase III testing:

Each different size BC were evaluated during open water dives. A series of evaluation dives were conducted to a minimum depth of 30 fsw. Divers completed a

human factor questionnaire after each dive. A set of descriptive statistics of the responses and specific comments were complied.

### **EQUIPMENT AND INSTRUMENTATION**

No special or proprietary tools were required to perform routine maintenance or repair on the BCs.

- a. Phase I: During bench testing, the following equipment was used:
  - (1) Fully charged SCUBA bottle and an approved regulator (used to supply low-pressure air to perform equipment checks)
  - (2) Manufacturer's instructions and maintenance manual
  - (3) Miscellaneous hand tools and adapter fittings
  - (4) Weights (soft or molded)
- b. Phase II: During Test Pool testing the following equipment was used:
  - (1) Calibrated Viking spring scale model 895, 0 to 50 pounds (0 to 22.68 kg) manufactured by Hanson in Shubuta, Mississippi.
  - (2) Lanyards, spinnaker shackles, and weight as appropriate to anchor BCs to deck in Test Pool
  - (3) Fully charged SCUBA bottle and an approved regulator (used to supply low-pressure air)
  - (4) Personnel as required
  - (5) Weights
- c. Phase III: During at sea testing, the following equipment was used:
  - (1) Fully charged SCUBA bottle, approved regulator and all other personnel diving equipment needed to perform a SCUBA dive
  - (2) Personnel as required
  - (3) At sea diving platform

### **PROCEDURES**

BC evaluation was conducted in three phases: (1) receipt inspection and technical review of manufacturer supplied documentation, (2) Test Pool evaluation (buoyancy/lift capacity at 15 fsw), (3) open water dives to test buoyancy control and operational characteristics.

- a. Phase I testing began with a review of the following:
  - (1) Completeness and adequacy of the maintenance manuals and technical documentation
  - (2) Requirements for special or proprietary tools
  - (3) Skill level required to perform routine repair and maintenance
  - (4) Operation of integrated weight system

- (5) Operation and activation of all BC components
- (6) Ease of assembly in single tank configuration
- (7) Unit price

A technical documentation and operational function worksheet was completed by each qualified diver assigned, and returned to the Task Leader.

b. <u>Phase II Testing</u>: Buoyancy/lift capacity of the units were tested in the Test Pool at a depth of 15 fsw. All divers participating in the study were required to familiarize themselves with the contents of the user's manual, to include location of controls on the BC and donning procedures.

A calibrated Viking spring scale model 895 was attached to the deck via a 100 lbs. clump in the Test Pool to measure buoyancy. Each BC tested was attached to the scale and tested in the Test Pool at 15 fsw. The buoyancy was measured and documented; at a minimum, each BC was required to provide 10 lbs. of positive lift as outlined in reference (2). The BC was also tested for leaks at depth.

c. <u>Phase III Testing:</u> Manned open water dives were conducted to a minimum depth of 30 fsw to determine each BC's swim characteristics. Results were documented using a diver's questionnaire.

# **RESULTS**

### PHASE I

The inspection of the manufacturer's supplied documentation on the use, service, parts, technical aspects and exploded views/diagrams was excellent. Documentation fails to include a parts list or technical specifications within the supplied buoyancy compensator manual, but are available from the manufacturer upon request. There were no requirements for special or proprietary tools needed. Skill level required to perform routine maintenance should be at least a second class diver or above. The integrated weight system weights were secure and easy to operate the release mechanism. The operation and activation of all BC components were easy to operate. There were no problems assembling the single tank configuration, there was no twin tank configuration. The DUI "Jacket" BC has an integrated weight belt system that can be removed and ditched from the BC by the diver in case of emergency<sup>4</sup>. This system is easy to use and easy to reinstall onto the BC. The weight module pockets are designed to hold a maximum of 10 lbs. of molded or soft weights in each pocket for a total onboard weight capacity of 40 lbs.

The average manufacturer's suggested price per unit (X-Small – X-Large) is \$358.

# PHASE II

The DUI "Jacket" BC in the single tank configuration averaged 32.5 lbf (Medium), 35.5 lbf (Large), 43.0 lbf (X-Large) of positive lift at 15 fsw (see Table 1). The measured buoyancy of the DUI "Jacket" BC was approximately 7.1% less than the 35 lbf (Medium), 15% less than 42 lbf (Large) and 10% less than the 48 lbf (X-Large) quoted by the manufacturer. However, that difference might have been due to differing test conditions, procedures, or depth.

No twin tank configuration was tested. At the time of testing, a twin tank configuration was not available.

### PHASE III

During the manned evaluation of the DUI "Jacket" BC, 14 divers tested the "Jacket" BC in a single tank configuration to depths ranging from 30 to 100 fsw. On a scale of 1-6 (4.0 being the minimum mark for an overall acceptable score), this BC scored a rating of 4.79 in the single tank configuration.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

During testing, two major items of note were encountered. First, in accordance with the manufacturer's technical manual and Maintenance Requirement Card (MRC) MIP 5921/023 R-1, the cylinder band strap must be wet prior to installation of the tank (single configuration). If this was not done, the bottle had a tendency to slip down and out of the BC, which could lead to the loss of the diver's air supply. Second, approximately 35% of the divers reported that this BC has too many straps, and accessory buckles.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Based on the testing and evaluation in accordance with reference (3) and reported in Tables (1) and (2), we recommend that the DUI Model name "Jacket" (P/N: Small, 290602, Medium 290603, Large 290604, X-Large 290605) be authorized for Navy use in the single tank configuration. Prior to each diving day, PMS MIP 5921/023 R-1 must be completed. No surface floating attitude testing was conducted, as per manufacturer's supplied documentation; therefore we do not recommend this BC be used as a life preserver.

# Table 1. DUI "Jacket" Buoyancy Compensator Pull Test Data Sheet

DUI "Ja	DUI "Jacket" Single Tank Configuration	ıratior			
NO.	NOMENCLATURE	SIZE	BUOYANCY (LBF)	DEPTH	INFLATION METHOD
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-	DUI "Jacket"	Σ	32.5 lbf	15 FSW	LP WHIP FROM SCUBA BOTTLE
2	DUI "Jacket"	-	35.5 lbf	15 FSW	LP WHIP FROM SCUBA BOTTLE
က	DUI "Jacket"	9 T	43 lbf	15 FSW	15 FSW LP WHIP FROM SCUBA BOTTLE
Table 1	Table 1. Each size BC was tes	sted t	o 15 fsw (4.7 msw) uti	lizing th	C was tested to 15 fsw (4.7 msw) utilizing the Test Pool. Each BC was
fully inf	lated three times in the	singl	e tank configuration, re	<b>ecordin</b>	fully inflated three times in the single tank configuration, recording the average lift capacity.

Table 2. Human Factors Evaluationof the DUI "Jacket" Buoyancy Compensator in Single Tank Configuration.

		AVERAGE	3,78	5,00	5,33	5.78	3,56	4.11	4.00	5.89	4.00	5.22	5.89	4.89	4.33	5.22	4.79		4.79	
	#16	Overall Rating	3	5	9	8	3	4	4	9	4	9	5	5	4	5	4.71		ge	
	#15	Feel Comfortable	4	5	9	9	4	4	4	9	4	5	9	9	4	3	4.86		Overall Average	
	#14	Water Drag	4	9	5	9	9	4	4	9	4	9	9	4	5	9	5.14			
	#13	Operating Controls	4	4	5	9	4	4	*	5	4	4	9	9	4	5	4.57			
DUI "Jacket" Single Tank Configuration	#12	Location of Controls	4	9	5	S	1	4	4	9	4	9	9	5	5	5	4.64			_
	#11	Neutral Buoyancy	4	9	4	9	4	9	4	9	4	9	9	5	9	5	4.93			
	#10	Donning & Doffing	3	5	9	9	4	4	4	9	4	4	9	5	2	5	4.57			
	6#	Mobility	4	5	5	9	4	4	7	9	4	5	8	5	5	9	4.93			-
	8#	Comfort	4	5	9	9	2	4	4	9	4	5	9	5	4	5	4.71			
		QUESTIONNAIRE #	1	2	8	4	5	9	7	80	σ	10	11	12	13	14	QUESTION AVERAGE			

Divers completed a human factors questionaire after each dive. A set of descriptive statistics of the responses and specific comments were complied. The BCs scored on a scale of 1-6 scale (4.0 being the minimum mark for an overall acceptable score) (1 = poor, 4 = Table 2. A series of open water evaluation dives per tank configuration were conducted to a minimum depth of 30 fsw (9.4 msw). adequate, 6 = excellent).

### REFERENCES

- 1. Commander, Naval Sea Systems Command, Task Assignment 98-10, Commercial Diving Equipment Test and Evaluation, Dec 97.
- 2. NAVSEA Itr Ser: OOC32/3265 dated 21 July 1989
- 3. R. W. Mazzone, *Procedure for the Evaluation of Commercially Available Buoyancy Compensator's (Unmanned/Manned)*, NEDU TP98-01, Navy Experimental Diving Unit, January 1998.
- 4. Naval Sea Systems Command, *U.S. Navy Diving Manual, Vol. #5*, Rev. 4, NAVSEA SS521-AG-PRO-010, 20 Jan 99. Chapter 7-2.3.4, page 7-9.